An Investigation on the Performance of the Sealing Gaskets Used in Shielded Tunnels in Taipei MRT Projects

Hsi-Chi Yang Assistant Professor Chung Hua University Hsinchu, Taiwan hcyangse@chu.edu.tw

Hsi-Chi Yang, born 1953, received his Ph.D. degree from the University of Florida, USA **Chung-Chen Liang** Assistant Engineer Taipei Rapid Transit Taipei, Taiwan *x1048104@trts.dorts.gov.tw*

Chung-Chen Liang, born 1965, received his M.S. degree from Chung Hua University, Taiwan **Kang-Hua Chiang**

Assistant Engineer Taipei Rapid Transit Taipei, Taiwan tony@ trts.dorts.gov.tw

Kang-Hua Chiang, born 1959, received his M.S. degree from Chung Hua University, Taiwan

Summary

The gasket designed and specified in the contract should have had the capability theoretically to seal the ground water. However, when constructed, due to some unanticipated and uncontrollable factors, leakage spots could be present at the lining segment joints. It is important to study the performance of the installed gaskets in the built tunnels. This paper documents the field observation conducted in Bid A and Bid B in one of the Taipei MRT lines. The 8mm thick gasket was used in Bid A, while the 9mm thick in Bid B. For both projects, the number of leakage spots was recorded at 24 hours, 15 days, 30 days, and 60 days duration. After being identified, the leakage spots were grouted using the OH-A waterproof sealant. An analytical comparison on the performance of the sealing gaskets between the two projects is conducted with respect to construction quality, time and cost. The results show that the modified gasket performs much better than the original one.

Keywords: shielded tunnel; sealing gasket; lining segment; MRT

1. Introduction

A tunnel has to be waterproof to ensure minimum maintenance and operating safety as well as to protect the expensive electro-mechanical installations housed in the tubes. The main consideration of shielded tunnel waterproof measurement is to prevent water leaking from segment joints. Focusing on the segmental lining, the most important parameters to achieve water tightness are to define the maximum allowed gap and off-set between two segments, to limit the distortion in the radial joints (ring build tolerances) and to limit segment tolerance for profile and groove [1]. Although tolerances for the erection of the tunnel lining have to be limited, however, when constructed, due to some unanticipated and uncontrollable factors, the allowable tolerances cannot be achieved to prevent water leakage. Thus, it is important to study the performance of the installed gaskets in the built tunnels.

2. Research purposes

This paper documents the field observation conducted in Bid A and Bid B in one of the Taipei MRT lines, the Sanchung-Luchou line, indicated in Figure 1. The 8mm thick gasket was used in Bid A, while the 9mm thick in Bid B. The research purposes are:

- 1. to perform the field survey of water leakage between segment joints for Bids A and B shielded tunnels.
- 2. to conduct an analytical comparison on the performance of sealing gaskets between the two shielded tunnels with respect to construction quality, time and cost.

3. Comparative analysis

Table 1 indicates that, at the 60 days, the 9mm thick gasket performs four times better than the 8 mm thick gasket. The time and cost required to inject OH-A into the leakage spots in Bid A and Bid B are shown in Tables 2 and 3. For the 9mm thick gasket, the time saved is about 79 days and the cost saved is about NT\$ 800,000 over the 8mm thick gasket.

| Bid # | Bid A (Orig | inal gasket) | Bid B (Modified gasket) | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| Leakage | Inbound | Outbound | Inbound | Outbound | |
| 60days | 1676 spots | 1725 spots | 654 spots | 602 spots | |
| Leakage ratio | 51 spots/seg | 53 spots/seg | 13 spots/seg | 12 spots/seg | |
| Average leakage ratio | 52 spo | ots/seg | 12.5 spots/seg | | |
| A:B | 4. | 16 | 1 | | |

Table 1: Quality comparison between Bid A and Bid B

Table 2: Time comparison between Bid A and Bid B

| Bid # | Bid A | | | Bid B | | | | |
|---------------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Time | Inbound | | Outbound | | Inbound | | Outbound | |
| | 544 1 | rings | 544 rings | | 845 rings | | 845 rings | |
| Leakage spots | Minutes | Hours | Minutes | Hours | Minutes | Hours | Minutes | Hours |
| 1676 | 50280 | 838 | | | | | | |
| 1725 | | | 51750 | 863 | | | | |
| 463 | | | | | 13890 | 232 | | |
| 463 | | | | | | | 13890 | 232 |

Table 3: Cost comparison between Bid A and Bid B

| Bid # | Bid A | | | | Bid B | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Cost (NT\$) | Inbound | | Outbound | | Inbound | | Outbound | |
| × , | 544 ring | | 544 ring | | 845 ring | | 845 ring | |
| | Unit | Total | Unit | Total | Unit | Total | Unit | Total |
| Leakage spots | price | price | price | price | price | price | price | price |
| 1676 | 649 | 1087724 | | | | | | |
| 1725 | | | 649 | 1119525 | | | | |
| 463 | | | | | 649 | 300487 | | |
| 463 | | | | | | | 649 | 300487 |

4. Conclusion

Although a well designed gasket is specified in the contract, unless it is installed under reasonable construction tolerance, its anticipated performance cannot be guaranteed and satisfying behaviour cannot be achieved. The many different Taipei MRT projects give an opportunity to test and improve the originally specified gasket. Through field survey of two projects, the modified gasket can improve construction quality, time and cost substantially. The experiences gained from this study are presented as a reference for future modification of shield tunnel related construction specifications.

5. References

[1] SCHURCH M., "Small But Important – Gaskets for Tunnel Segments", *International Symposium on Underground Excavation and Tunnelling*, 2006, pp. 239-248.